Case3:12-cv-04498-EMC Document98 Filed08/13/13 Page1 of 3

1	Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056) robert.mccauley@finnegan.com	COOLEY LLP HEIDI L. KEEFE (178960)
2	Tina E. Hulse (SBN 232936) tina.hulse@finnegan.com	(hkeefe@cooley.com) DANIEL J. KNAUSS (267414)
3	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP	(dknauss@cooley.com) Five Palo Alto Square
4	3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304 Tel: (650) 849-6600 Fax: (650) 849-6666 Attorneys for Plaintiffs ASETEK HOLDINGS,	3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400 DENNIS McCOOE
5		
6		
7	INC. and ASETEK A/S	(mccooe@blankrome.com) (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
8		KATHERINE BARECCHIA (barecchia@blankrome.com)
9		(admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) JOEL DION (dion-j@blankrome.com)
10		(admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) BLANK ROME LLP
11		One Logan Square 130 North 18 th Street
12		Philadelphia. PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 569-5580
13		Facsimile: (215) 832-5580
14		Attorneys for Defendant CoolIT Systems Inc.
15		DIGENICE COLUNE
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17		CT OF CALIFORNIA
18		SCO DIVISION
19	ASETEK HOLDINGS, INC. and ASETEK A/S,	
20	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME TO RESPOND TO
21	V.	DEFENDANT COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC.'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
22	COOLIT SYSTEMS INC., Defendant.	JUDGMENT [DKT. NO. 93]; [PR OPOS ED] ORDER
23 24	Defendant.	
2 4 25		
25 26		
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 27 \end{bmatrix}$		
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$		

1	On August 2, 2013, Defendant CoolIT Systems, Inc. ("CoolIT") filed a Motion for Partial	
2	Summary Judgment to Prevent Asetek's Double Recovery of Damages and, in the Alternative, for	
3	Patent Exhaustion. Dkt. 93. CoolIT noticed the hearing for the motion for September 19, 2013.	
4	Plaintiffs Asetek Holdings, Inc. and Asetek A/S's (collectively, "Asetek") opposition is currently	
5	due on August 16, 2013.	
6	Asetek intends to submit a declaration in support of its opposition, but the declarant is	
7	currently traveling abroad this week. On August 12, 2013, Asetek's counsel requested a one-week	
8	extension to respond to CoolIT's motion. Counsel for CoolIT agreed. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules	
9	6-2(a) and 7-12, the parties, through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to a request	
10	for an order extending the time for Asetek to respond to CoolIT's motion by one week, from August	
11	16, 2013, up to and including August 23, 2013. No changes to the noticed hearing date are	
12	necessary in light of this requested extension.	
13	By his signature below, counsel for Plaintiffs attests that counsel for Defendant concurs in	
14	the filing of this document.	
15		
16	Dated: August 13, 2013 Respectfully submitted,	
17	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	
18	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP	
19	By: /s/ Robert F. McCauley	
20	Robert F. McCauley	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Asetek A/S and Asetek Holdings, Inc.	
22		
23	Dated: August 13, 2013 COOLEY LLP	
24		
25	By: /s/ Daniel J. Knauss Daniel J. Knauss	
26	Attorneys for Defendant	
27	CoolIT Systems Inc.	
28		

Dated: August <u>13</u>, 2013

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Edward M. Chen

[PROPOSED] ORDER